

Introduction to Service Weaver

A Framework for Writing Distributed Applications

https://serviceweaver.dev

In Our Experience:

Trend

- Split the application into many microservices
- A team owns multiple microservices
- Add new microservices frequently
- Use an internal framework to manage them

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

In Our Experience:

Trend

- Split the application into many microservices
- A team owns multiple microservices
- Add new microservices frequently
- Use an internal framework to manage them

Why Split

- Scalability, fault tolerance
- Improved agility, maintainability:
 - Multiple languages? But a vast majority of the teams use only one language.
 - Different rollout schedules? But a significant fraction of the teams have only one rollout schedule.
 - Frequent rollouts? But only a tiny fraction of the microservices are released very often.

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

In Our Experience:

Trend

- Split the application into many microservices
- A team owns multiple microservices
- Add new microservices frequently
- Use an internal framework to manage them

Why Split

- Scalability, fault tolerance
- Improved agility, maintainability:
 - Multiple languages? But a vast majority of the teams use only one language.
 - Different rollout schedules? But a significant fraction of the teams have only one rollout schedule.
 - Frequent rollouts? But only a tiny fraction of the microservices are released very often.

But splitting into microservices has drawbacks:

- Versioned upgrades
- Configuration complexity multiplied
- Added IDL and protocol complexity
- API hardening
- E2E and local testing

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

- Harder to develop
- Harder to deploy
- Harder to maintain

Monolith

Single binary Single config

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

- Harder to develop
- Harder to deploy
- Harder to maintain

Monolith

Single binary Single config

Good: Easier to address many challenges due to using microservices.

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

- Harder to develop
- Harder to deploy
- Harder to maintain

Monolith

Single binary Single config

Good: Easier to address many challenges due to using microservices.

Bad: Suffers from challenges that microservices can handle.

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

- Harder to develop
- Harder to deploy
- Harder to maintain

Monolith

Single binary Single config

Good: Easier to address many challenge due to using microservices.

Bad: Suffers from challenges that microservices can handle.

Service Weaver

Bridges the gap between the two:

- Programming model of a modular binary
- Flexibility of microservices

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

- Harder to develop
- Harder to deploy
- Harder to maintain

Monolith

Single binary Single config

Good: Easier to address many challenges due to using microservices.

Bad: Suffers from challenges that microservices can handle.

Service Weaver

Bridges the gap between the two:

- Programming model of a modular binary
- Flexibility of microservices

Gist

- Program as a modular binary
- Deploy as a set of connected microservices

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

- Harder to develop
- Harder to deploy
- Harder to maintain

Monolith

Single binary Single config

Good: Easier to address many challenges due to using microservices.

Bad: Suffers from challenges that microservices can handle.

Service Weaver

Bridges the gap between the two:

- Programming model of a modular binary
- Flexibility of microservices

Gist

- Program as a modular binary
- Deploy as a set of connected microservices

Enables high-performance applications

Enables portability (multi-cloud, multi-language)

Microservices

A piece of code that exports an RPC service.

Good:

- Improved scalability
- Improved fault tolerance
- Improved agility
- Improved maintainability

- Harder to develop
- Harder to deploy
- Harder to maintain









Development

- Using native language constructs
- Organized around native language interfaces
- No code versioning concerns
- Embedded fields to weavify the app







Development

- Using native language constructs
- Organized around native language interfaces
- No code versioning concerns
- Embedded fields to weavify the app

Deployment

- Single binary and a tiny config
- Run as a set of microservices at the same code version
- Multiple deployers (local, GKE, SSH)
- Safe rollouts (blue/green deployments)





Development

- Using native language constructs
- Organized around native language interfaces
- No code versioning concerns
- Embedded fields to weavify the app

Deployment

- Single binary and a tiny config
- Run as a set of microservices at the same code version
- Multiple deployers (local, GKE, SSH)
- Safe rollouts (blue/green deployments)

Telemetry and Testing

- Integrated logging, metrics, and tracing
- Easy local testing
- Quick local iteration over application changes via `go run`



Development

- Using native language constructs
- Organized around native language interfaces
- No code versioning concerns
- Embedded fields to weavify the app

Deployment

- Single binary and a tiny config
- Run as a set of microservices at the same code version
- Multiple deployers (local, GKE, SSH)
- Safe rollouts (blue/green deployments)

Telemetry and Testing

- Integrated logging, metrics, and tracing
- Easy local testing
- Quick local iteration over application changes via `go run`

Performance

- Efficient serialization and transport
- Colocation
- Routing

Development

A set of components that call each other.

Under the hood: **a code generator** to weavify the application (e.g., generate encoding, stubs, etc.)

Write as a modular binary



A set of components that call each other.

Under the hood: a code generator to weavify the application (e.g., generate encoding, stubs, etc.)



Write as a modular binary





A set of components that call each other.

Under the hood: a code generator to weavify the application (e.g., generate encoding, stubs, etc.)



How to define a component?

- Represented as a Go interface
- Args/results must be serializable

// Cache component definition.
type Cache interface {
 Put(ctx context.Context, key, value string) error

}

How to define a component?

- Represented as a Go interface
- Args/results must be serializable

How to implement a component?

- As a Go struct
- The implementation should embed weaver.Implements[T]

```
// Cache component definition.
type Cache interface {
    Put(ctx context.Context, key, value string) error
    ...
}
// Cache component implementation.
type cache struct {
```

```
weaver.Implements[Cache] // to weavify the component
data map[string]string
```

```
func (c *cache) Put(_ context.Context, key, value string) error {
    c.data[key] = value
    return nil
```

How to define a component?

- Represented as a Go interface
- Args/results must be serializable

How to implement a component?

- As a Go struct
- The implementation should embed weaver.Implements[T]

How to instantiate a component?

- weaver.Init(...) to initialize the application
- weaver.Get[T]returns a handle to the component

```
// Cache component definition.
type Cache interface {
    Put(ctx context.Context, key, value string) error
    ...
}
```

```
// Cache component implementation.
type cache struct {
   weaver.Implements[Cache] // to weavify the component
   data map[string]string
}
```

```
func (c *cache) Put(_ context.Context, key, value string) error {
    c.data[key] = value
    return nil
```

```
func main() {
    ctx := context.Background()
    root := weaver.Init(ctx) // Initialize the app.
    cache, err := weaver.Get[Cache](root)
}
```

How to define a component?

- Represented as a Go interface
- Args/results must be serializable

How to implement a component?

- As a Go struct
- The implementation should embed weaver.Implements[T]

How to instantiate a component?

- weaver.Init(...) to initialize the application
- weaver.Get[T]returns a handle to the component

How to interact with a component?

• Simple method calls

```
// Cache component definition.
type Cache interface {
    Put(ctx context.Context, key, value string) error
    ...
}
// Cache component implementation.
type cache struct {
    weaver.Implements[Cache] // to weavify the component
    data map[string]string
```

func (c *cache) Put(_ context.Context, key, value string) error {
 c.data[key] = value
 return nil

```
func main() {
  ctx := context.Background()
  root := weaver.Init(ctx) // Initialize the app.
  cache, err := weaver.Get[Cache](root)
  ...
  err = cache.Put(ctx, "mykey", "myvalue")
  ...
```

Deployment

Release a single binary

Single Config

• Tiny

// Rock Paper Scissors app config.
[serviceweaver]
binary = "./game"
colocate = [// optional
 ["Rock", "Paper"], ["Scissors"]
]
rollout = "1m" // optional

Release a single binary

Single Config

• Tiny

// Rock Paper Scissors app config.
[serviceweaver]
binary = "./game"
colocate = [// optional
 ["Rock", "Paper"], ["Scissors"]
]
rollout = "1m" // optional

\$ go run .

Run in a single process.

Release a single binary

Single Config

• Tiny

// Rock Paper Scissors app config.
[serviceweaver]
binary = "./game"
colocate = [// optional
 ["Rock", "Paper"], ["Scissors"]
]
rollout = "1m" // optional

Release a single binary

Single Config

- Tiny ۰
- Per deployment •

Deployment commands for

- Local
- Multiple machines •
- Cloud •

// Rock Paper Scissors app config. [serviceweaver] binary = "./game" colocate = [// optional ["Rock", "Paper"], ["Scissors"] rollout = "1m" // optional

// Deployments config. [ssh] locations_file = "./ssh_locations.txt"

\$ go run . \$ weaver multi deploy weaver.toml # Run in multiple processes. \$ weaver ssh deploy weaver.toml

Run in a single process. # Run in the cluster.

Release a single binary

Single Config

- Tiny ۰
- Per deployment •

Deployment commands for

- Local
- Multiple machines •
- Cloud •

```
// Rock Paper Scissors app config.
[serviceweaver]
binary = "./game"
colocate = [ // optional
  ["Rock", "Paper"], ["Scissors"]
rollout = "1m" // optional
```

// Deployments config. [ssh] locations_file = "./ssh_locations.txt"

```
[gke]
regions = ["us-west1"]
public_listener = [
  {name = "game", hostname = "game.example.com"},
```

\$ go run .

\$ weaver multi deploy weaver.toml # Run in multiple processes. \$ weaver ssh deploy weaver.toml \$ weaver gke deploy weaver.toml

Run in a single process. # Run in the cluster. # Run in the cloud.

component Rock

component Scissors

Modular binary

Google





Telemetry and Testing

Logging

- Each component has an associated logger
- Structured logging: cat, tail, search, filter logs

```
func (c *cache) Put(_ context.Context, key, value string) error {
    c.Logger().Info("Add", "key", key, "value", value)
    c.data[key] = value
    return nil
}
```

```
$ weaver gke logs --follow # Follow all the logs.
$ weaver gke logs 'app=="cache" && level=="info" # Only info logs.
$ ...
```

Logging

- Each component has an associated logger
- Structured logging: cat, tail, search, filter logs

```
...
func (c *cache) Put(_ context.Context, key, value string) error {
    c.Logger().Info("Add", "key", key, "value", value)
    c.data[key] = value
    return nil
}
$ weaver gke logs --follow # Follow all the logs.
$ weaver gke logs 'app=="cache" && level=="info" # Only info logs.
$ ...
```

Metrics

- Counters, gauges, and histograms
- Includes framework metrics



Logging

- Each component has an associated logger
- Structured logging: cat, tail, search, filter logs



Tracing

- Relies on <u>OpenTelemetry</u>
- Once enabled, all HTTP requests and component method calls are automatically traced

func main() {

// Create an otel handler to enable tracing.
otelHandler := otelhttp.NewHandler(http.DefaultServeMux, "http")
http.Serve(lis, otelHandler)

Metrics

- Counters, gauges, and histograms
- Includes framework metrics



Logging

- Each component has an associated logger
- Structured logging: cat, tail, search, filter logs



Metrics

- Counters, gauges, and histograms
- Includes framework metrics



Tracing

- Relies on **OpenTelemetry**
- Once enabled, all HTTP requests and component method calls are automatically traced

func main() {

// Create an otel handler to enable tracing.
otelHandler := otelhttp.NewHandler(http.DefaultServeMux, "http")
http.Serve(lis, otelHandler)

Profiling

- Profile each individual process and aggregates into a single profile
- Captures the performance of the app as a whole

Dashboards



Google

Dashboards



Integration with Monitoring Frameworks

+ Summary		* LINKS
Арр	onlineboutique	Metrics Tracing
Deployment	d2e5e3ea-73f5-41eb-9de0-6e93191d4319	
Age	1m19s	▼ Config
Listener "boutique"	£∄12345	<pre>[gke] regions = ["us-west1"] [[public_listemer]] hostname = "onlineboutique.example.com" name = "boutique" [serviceweaver] binary = '.onlineboutique" rollout = "Sm"</pre>
Commands		

Dashboards



Integration with Monitoring Frameworks



Google

Dashboards



Integration with Monitoring Frameworks



Unit testing

- Use weavertest package
- Run tests in single/multi process mode



Unit testing

- Use weavertest package
- Run tests in single/multi process mode



runc TestCache(t 'testing.1) {
 ctx := context.Background()
 root := weavertest.Init(ctx, t, weavertest.Options
 cache, err := weaver.Get[Cache](root)
 err = cache.Put(ctx, "mykey", "myvalue")
 got , err := cache.Get(ctx, "mykey")
 if want := "myvalue"; got != want {
 t.Fatal("got %q, want %q", got, want)
 }
}

Unit testing

- Use weavertest package
- Run tests in single/multi process mode

<pre>func main() { ctx := context.Background()</pre>	
<pre>root := weaver.Init(ctx) // Initialize the app.</pre>	
<pre>cache, err := weaver.Get[Cache](root)</pre>	
err = cache.Put(ctx, "mykey", "myvalue")	
}	



E2E testing

- Use status commands
- Check logs, metrics, traces, dashboards
- Profiles

Unit testing

- Use weavertest package
- Run tests in single/multi process mode

<pre>func main() { ctx := context.Background()</pre>				
<pre>root := weaver.Init(ctx) // Initialize the app.</pre>				
<pre>cache, err := weaver.Get[Cache](root)</pre>				
err = cache.Put(ctx, "mykey", "myvalue")				
, }				



E2E testing

- Use status commands
- Check logs, metrics, traces, dashboards
- Profiles
- (1) # R
 - # Run in a single process.
 ~/cache \$ go run .
 - Test whether the app still runs properly

Unit testing

- Use weavertest package
- Run tests in single/multi process mode

<pre>func main() { ctx := context.Background()</pre>
<pre>root := weaver.Init(ctx) // Initialize the app.</pre>
<pre>cache, err := weaver.Get[Cache](root)</pre>
err = cache.Put(ctx, "mykey", "myvalue")
)



E2E testing

- Use status commands
- Check logs, metrics, traces, dashboards
- Profiles

(2)

- (1)
 # Run in a single process.
 ~/cache \$ go run .
 - Test whether the app still runs properly

Run in multiple processes. ~/cache \$ weaver multi deploy weaver.toml

• Test whether the app is making any assumptions that don't hold in a distributed setting

Unit testing

- Use weavertest package
- Run tests in single/multi process mode

<pre>func main() { ctx := context.Background()</pre>
<pre>root := weaver.Init(ctx) // Initialize the app.</pre>
<pre>cache, err := weaver.Get[Cache](root)</pre>
err = cache.Put(ctx, "mykey", "myvalue")
 }
,



E2E testing

- Use status commands
- Check logs, metrics, traces, dashboards
- Profiles

(1)

(2)

- # Run in a single process.
 ~/cache \$ go run .
- Test whether the app still runs properly

Run in multiple processes. ~/cache \$ weaver multi deploy weaver.toml

• Test whether the app is making any assumptions that don't hold in a distributed setting

(3)

Emulate GKE runs.
~/cache \$ weaver gke-local deploy weaver.toml

 Test whether the app still works in the presence of multiple app versions running

Performance

Efficient encoding/decoding

- Argument/result types known at the sender/receiver
- No versioning overheads

Efficient transport

- Built on top of TCP
- Custom load-balancing

Colocation

• Flexibility to colocate some components in the same OS process

Routing

- Increased likelihood to route requests with the same key to the same component replica
- Increases cache hit ratio

Efficient encoding/decoding

- Argument/result types known at the sender/receiver
- No versioning overheads

Efficient transport

- Built on top of TCP
- Custom load-balancing.

Colocation

• Flexibility to colocate some components in the same OS process

Routing

- Increased likelihood to route requests with the same key to the same component replica
- Increases cache hit ratio

- OnlineBoutique Application
- 11 microservices
- E2-Medium VMs (1 core each), GKE, us-west1, 670 qps load
- Non-Weaver vs. Weaver (split) vs. Weaver (merged)

Efficient encoding/decoding

- Argument/result types known at the sender/receiver
- No versioning overheads

Efficient transport

- Built on top of TCP
- Custom load-balancing.

Colocation

• Flexibility to colocate some components in the same OS process

Routing

- Increased likelihood to route requests with the same key to the same component replica
- Increases cache hit ratio

- OnlineBoutique Application
- 11 microservices
- E2-Medium VMs (1 core each), GKE, us-west1, 670 qps load
- Non-Weaver vs. Weaver (split) vs. Weaver (merged)

Metric	Non-Weaver	Weaver (split)	Weaver (merged)	Gains
Go code	2647 lines	2117 lines	2117 lines	up to <mark>1.25</mark> x

Efficient encoding/decoding

- Argument/result types known at the sender/receiver
- No versioning overheads

Efficient transport

- Built on top of TCP
- Custom load-balancing.

Colocation

• Flexibility to colocate some components in the same OS process

Routing

- Increased likelihood to route requests with the same key to the same component replica
- Increases cache hit ratio

- OnlineBoutique Application
- 11 microservices
- E2-Medium VMs (1 core each), GKE, us-west1, 670 qps load
- Non-Weaver vs. Weaver (split) vs. Weaver (merged)

Metric	Non-Weaver	Weaver (split)	Weaver (merged)	Gains
Go code	2647 lines	2117 lines	2117 lines	up to 1.25x
Config code	1507 lines	9 lines	12 lines	œ

Efficient encoding/decoding

- Argument/result types known at the sender/receiver
- No versioning overheads

Efficient transport

- Built on top of TCP
- Custom load-balancing.

Colocation

• Flexibility to colocate some components in the same OS process

Routing

- Increased likelihood to route requests with the same key to the same component replica
- Increases cache hit ratio

- OnlineBoutique Application
- 11 microservices
- E2-Medium VMs (1 core each), GKE, us-west1, 670 qps load
- Non-Weaver vs. Weaver (split) vs. Weaver (merged)

Metric	Non-Weaver	Weaver (split)	Weaver (merged)	Gains
Go code	2647 lines	2117 lines	2117 lines	up to 1.25x
Config code	1507 lines	9 lines	12 lines	œ
Autoscaled to	21 VMs	10 VMs	5 VMs	up to <mark>4</mark> x

Efficient encoding/decoding

- Argument/result types known at the sender/receiver
- No versioning overheads

Efficient transport

- Built on top of TCP
- Custom load-balancing.

Colocation

• Flexibility to colocate some components in the same OS process

Routing

- Increased likelihood to route requests with the same key to the same component replica
- Increases cache hit ratio

- OnlineBoutique Application
- 11 microservices
- E2-Medium VMs (1 core each), GKE, us-west1, 670 qps load
- Non-Weaver vs. Weaver (split) vs. Weaver (merged)

Metric	Non-Weaver	Weaver (split)	Weaver (merged)	Gains
Go code	2647 lines	2117 lines	2117 lines	up to 1.25x
Config code	1507 lines	9 lines	12 lines	∞
Autoscaled to	21 VMs	10 VMs	5 VMs	up to <mark>4x</mark>
Median latency	40 ms	12 ms	6 ms	up to <mark>7x</mark>
99p latency	520 ms	130 ms	14 ms	up to <mark>37x</mark>

FAQ

Do's

- Write a single modularized binary
- Decide on how to split into microservices only when you deploy
- Don't worry about the underlying network transports (e.g., HTTP, gRPC) and serialization (e.g., JSON, Protocol Buffers)
- Allows cross-component calls within the same process to be optimized down to local method calls

Don'ts

- Hide the network the method calls should be treated as remote by default
- Organize the application code and low level interactions through an IDL
- Worry about code versioning issues and rollouts

Service Weaver

A Framework for Writing Distributed Applications



Easy to Develop

Split into Components Interact through method calls Single binary

Google



Easy to Deploy

Tiny Config Deployed as microservices Local, SSH, GKE deployers



Easy to Monitor

Embedded telemetry Integration with Monitoring Frameworks



High-Performance

Efficient encoding Efficient transport Component Colocation



http://serviceweaver.dev

Try it out! Contribute! Give Feedback!