Eyal Trabelsi - Apprently, you can debug your SQL queries

May 29, 2023

1 Apparently, you can "debug" your SQL queries

- Missing records.
- Too many records.
- Duplications.
- Nulls.

2 Identify flaws in queries is tough

- Require skills and experience.
- Databases dont provide debuggers.
- Databases do provide execution plans.

3 Buzzword alert !!

4 Democratization of execution plans

5 Query Execution Flow

6 Let's explain Explain

- explain: show what the planner planned to do.
- explain analyze: what the planner did (executes the query)

EXPLAIN [(option [, ...])] statement

- ANALYZE [boolean]
- VERBOSE [boolean]
- COSTS [boolean]
- SETTINGS [boolean]
- BUFFERS [boolean]
- WAL [boolean]

```
- TIMING [ boolean ]
- SUMMARY [ boolean ]
- FORMAT { TEXT | XML | JSON | YAML }
```

Pro Tip : go over an execution plan at least once; similar across databases.

7 Explain Anatomy

```
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT COUNT(*) FROM users WHERE twitter != '';
   Aggregate (cost=845110.21..845110.22 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=1271.157..1271.158 rows=1 loops=1)
     -> Seq Scan on users (cost=0.00..844969.99 rows=56087 width=0) (actual time=0.019..1265.883 rows=51833 loops=1)
Filter: ((twitter)::text <> ''::text)
Rows Removed by Filter: 2487813
   Planning time: 0.390 ms
   Execution time: 1271.180 ms
    • Look crypted at first :( .
    • It's longer than our query :(( .
    • Real-world execution plans are overwhelming:((.
   Aggregate (cost=845110.21..845110.22 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=1271.157..1271.158 rows=1 loops=1)
     -> Seq Scan on users (cost=0.00..844969.99 rows=56087 width=0) (actual time=0.019..1265.883 rows=51833 loops=1)
Filter: ((twitter)::text <> ''::text)
Rows Removed by Filter: 2487813
   Planning time: 0.390 ms
                                             time that took to plan
   Planning time: 0.390 ms time that took to plan time that took to run query
    • Query execution took 1.27 seconds.
    • Query planning took 0.4 millis.
```

د.	Seq Scan on users (cost=0.00.,844969.99 rows=56087 width=0) (actual time=0.0191265.883 rows=51833 loops=1) Filter: ((Witter)::text <> ''::text) Rows Removed by Filter: 2487813	Node details
Fxec	ning time: 0.390 ms ution time: 1271.180 ms	

- Structured as inverse tree.
- Many operations: 'Seq Scan', 'Values Scan', 'Sample Scan', 'Function Scan', 'CTEScan', 'Index Scan', 'Bitmap Heap Scan', 'Bitmap Index Scan', 'Index Only Scan', 'Subquery Scan', 'Hash Join', 'Hash', 'Nested Loop', 'Merge Join', GroupAggregate', 'Aggregate', 'HashAggregate', 'WindowAgg', 'Gather', 'Gather Merge', 'Unique', 'Result', 'SetOp', ' 'Limit', 'Sort', 'materialize', 'LockRows', 'Append', 'Merge Append' etc.

Pro Tip : Cheat on your homework.

Starlup Cosl Total Cost	Plan Rows Plan Width	Actual Startup Time Actual Total Tim	Actual Rows Actual Loops	s.
Aggregate (cost=845110.21) (actual time=1271.157127	1.158 rows=1 loops=1)	
-> Seq Scan on users (Filter: ((twitter) Rows Removed by Fi	cost=0.00844969.99 rows=! ::text ⇔ ''::text) lter: 2487813	56087 width=0) (actual time=	0.0191265.883 rows=5	51833 loops=1)
Planning time: 0.390 ms Execution time: 1271.180 ms	5			

- Plan Rows: the estimated number of produced rows of Aggregate node is 1.
- Actual Rows: the actual number of produced rows of Aggregate node is 1 (per-loop average).
- Plan Width: the estimated average size of rows of Aggregate node is 8 bytes.

Starlup Cost	Total Cost	Plan Rows Plan Width	Actual Startup Time	Actual Total Time	Actual Rows	Actual Loops	
Jgregate (cost= -> Seq Scan on Filter: (Rows Remo	=845110.21845 n users (cost= ((twitter)::tex	110.22 rows=1 width= 0.00844969.99 rows t ⇔ ''::text) 2487813	8) (actual time=12 =56087 width=0) (a	71.1571271. ctual time=0.	158 rows=1 0191265.8	∎ loops=1) 83 rows=51833	loops=1)
lanning time: 0. (ecution time: 1	.390 ms 1271.180 ms						

- Startup Cost: arbitrary units that represent estimated time to return the first row of Aggregate is 845110 (total).
- **Total Cost**: arbitrary units that represent estimated time to return all the rows of Aggregate is 845110 (total).
- Actual Startup Time: time to return the first row in ms of Aggregate is 1271.157 (total).
- Actual Total Time: time to return all the rows in ms of Aggregate is 1271.158 (per-loop average and total).

Starlup Cosl Total Cost Plan Rows Pitas Witten Actual Startup Time Actual Total Time. Actual Loops Aggregate (cost=845110.21..845110.22 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=1271.157..1271.158 rows=1 loops=1) -> Seq Scan on users (cost=0.00..844969.99 rows=56087 width=0) (actual time=0.019..1265.883 rows=51833 loops=1) Filter: ((twitter)::text <> ''::text) Rows Removed by Filter: 2487813 Planning time: 0.390 ms Execution time: 1271.180 ms

- Actual Loops: the number of loops the same node was executed is 1.
- To make the numbers comparable with the way the cost estimates are shown.
- To get the total time and rows, the actual time and rows need to be multiplied by loops values.

Pro Tip : every database has its wild card.

Aggregate	(cost=845110.21845110.2	2 rows=1 width=8) (actual tim	e=1271.157.1271.1	58 rows=1 loops=1)	
+> Seq Fi	Scan on users (cost=0.00. ilter: ((twitter)::text <> ows Removed by Filter: 2487	.844969.99 rows=56087 width=0 ''::text) 813) (actual time=0.0	191265.883 rows=5183	3 loops=1)
Planning t Execution	time: 0.390 ms	time that took to plan time that took to run query			

8 Example: Empty Results

EXPLAIN ANALYZE

SELECT COUNT(*) FROM users WHERE twitter = 'dOn@ldtrump';

Aggregate (cost=845110.21..845110.22 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=1271.157..1271.158 rows=0 loops=1)



- We perform a sequential scan on the users table.
- The scan filters out all rows using a Filter.
- in the first operation.
- so we dropped all the events at the donaldtrump predicate



EXPLAIN ANALYZE

SELECT COUNT(*) FROM users WHERE twitter = 'donaldtrump';

 Aggregate
 (cost=845110.21..845110.22 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=1271.157, .1271.158 rows=1 loops=1)

 ->
 Seq Scan on users
 (cost=0.00..844969.99 rows=1 width=0) (actual time=0.019..1265.883 rows=1 loops=1)

 Filter:
 (twitter)::text = 'donaldtrump'::text) Rows Removed by Filter: 2539645
 (actual time=0.019..1265.883 rows=1 loops=1)

 Planning time:
 0.390 ms Execution time: 1271.180 ms
 W# found the issue

- Pro Tip : in case we know a problem exists it is a productivity tool.
- Pro Tip : in case we don't know a problem exists it may protect us.

0 0 01	wwk /{print \$0; system(*sleep .01*);}' execution_plan.json
"Hash Buckets": 1024, "Oniginal Hash Buckets": 1024, "Hash Batches": 1, "Original Hash Batches": 1, "Peak Memory Usage": 72, "Shared Hit Blocks": 96,	and then an all the of the execution humans of
"Shared Read Blocks": 81930, "Shared Dirtied Blocks": 8, "Shared Mritten Blocks": 0, "Local Htt Blocks": 0, "Local Read Blocks": 0, "Local Dirtied Slocks": 0, "Local Dirtied Slocks": 0,	
"Temp Read Blocks": 0, "Temp Revitten Blocks": 0, "Workers": [[Worker Ausber": 0, "Actual Startup Time": 516.418, "Actual Total Time": 516.418, "Actual Total Time": 516.418,	
<pre>"Actual Loops": 1. "Shared Hit Blocks": 32. "Shared Rook Blocks": 27209. "Shared Dirtied Blocks": 0. "Shared Written Blocks": 0. "Local Hit Blocks": 0.</pre>	
<pre>"Local Read Blocks": 0, "Local Dirtied Blocks": 0, "Local Written Blocks": 0, "Temp Read Blocks": 0, "Temp Written Blocks": 0}, "Worker Number": 1,</pre>	
"Actual Startup Time": 516,719, "Actual Total Time": 516.719, "Actual Roys": 0, "Actual Loops": 1, "Shared Hit Blocks": 32, "Shared Read Blocks": 27217,	
"Shared Dirtied Blocks": 0, "Shared Written Blocks": 0.	

9 Aren't there easier ways?!

- UI is nice.
- Hints why/where a particular issue orinated.
- Hints how how rewrite your queries.
- Saving traces for rainy day

9.1 QueryFlow

```
SELECT title_id
FROM titles
INNER JOIN crew ON crew.title_id = titles.title_id
INNER JOIN people ON people.person_id = crew.person_id
WHERE crew.name = 'Rowan Atkinson'
SELECT *
FROM titles
INNER JOIN generes
ON generes.name like '%' || titles.genere_name || '%'
WHERE generes.safe_for_kids
+ Support multiple metrics/queries/engines.
+ Operations can be linkable with examples.
```

+ UI indicates the proportions of metrics and problematic operations.

- Not mature
- Very opinionated.

10 Optimistic Future

- Easy and intuitive.
- Integrated in IDEs
- Proactive